FIGHTING HLNGER IN AMERICA:

DEVELOPING A PLAN OF

ACTION

PRESENTED TO THE

1987 NATIONAL CONFERENCE
OF THE

FOOD RESEARCH & ACTION CENTER

REMARKS BY

WILLIAM S. WOODSIDE

CHAIRMAN, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

AMERICAN CAN COMPANY

WASHINGTON, D1C1 MARCH 23, 1987 SINCE THIS IS WASHINGTON) I KNOW THAT ALL OF
YOU MUST FAVOR THE CONSTITUTION) THE BILL OF
RIGHTS AND FREE SPEECH. I DON'T KNOW WHAT
ARRANGEMENTS YOU HAVE MADE TO TALK ABOUT THE
CONSTITUTION AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS) BUT I'M
THE GUY WHO WAS ASKED TO GIVE THE FREE
SPEECH.

ON A MORE SERIOUS NOTE) THERE IS NO GROUP

WITH WHOM I WOULD RATHER SHARE MY TIME. 7Wa /4/1.4 .4%f

I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU DID NOT COME HERE

SEEKING PRAISE FROM ME OR ANYONE ELSE, You

CAME HERE FOR A MORE IMPORTANT PURPOSE --- TO

WORK ON DEVELOPING A MORE SUBSTANTIAL AND

BUT BEFORE WE GET TO THAT SUBJECT) I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO SAY PUBLICLY WHAT I THINK IS

TOO OFTEN LEFT UNSTATED AND PERHAPS EVEN

AMERICA'S SOCIAL CONSCIENCE ALIVE AND WELL,

COMPREHENSIVE ACTION PLAN TO FEED THE HUNGRY,

/9-,e)0447

TAKEN FOR GRANTED: THOSE OF YOU WHWORK IN /;,,,---5,,;.24De),5

FOOD BANKS AND EMERGENCY FOOD PROGRAMS ARE

THE PEOPLE WHO REALLY KEEP HUNGER ON THE

NATIONAL AGENDA, You ARE THE PEOPLE WHO KEEP

THOSE OF US IN THE SO-CALLED "LEADERSHIP"

POSITIONS RECEIVE MORE CREDIT THAN WEREEMErS

DESERVE BECAUSE WE MAKE THE PUBLIC

APPEARANCES AND ACCEPT THE AWARDS,

BUT YOU DO THE REAL WORK,

DAY AFTER DAY) YOU EXHIBIT A CONCERN FOR OTHERS BASED ON A SIMPLE BUT PROFOUND TRUTH

THAT IT IS JUST PLAIN WRONG FOR PEOPLE TO GO HUNGRY.

AT A TIME WHEN THIS COUNTRY PAYS FAR TOO MUCH ATTENTION TO THE DOLLAR SIGN AS THE

BOTTOM LINE) YOUR EFFORTS ARE A REMINDER THAT PEOPLE) NOT DOLLARS) ARE THE REAL BOTTOM LINE,

ALL OF YOU IN THIS ROOM DESERVE TO BE

CONGRATULATED, COLLECTIVELY YOU HAVE DONE

CONSIDERABLY BETTER THAN MOST ADVOCATES FOR

THE POOR IN RECENT YEARS. IN SOME WAYS) THIS IS ATTESTABLE TO YOUR SKILLS AND DEDICATION.

IN ANOTHER) IT IS A REMINDER THAT OUR SOCIETY

CAN BE DECENT AND CARING WHEN THE ISSUES ARE

VMeC12 {
PLACED BEFORE US CLEARLY AND Miet44444.0w.L.

IN THIS SENSE) HUNGER HAS SERVED AS AN ISSUE

THROUGH WHICH WE COULD SPEAK TO THE BEST

INSTINCTS OF OUR NATION. WHEN WE HAVE SAID,

"HUNGER IS UNCONSCIONABLE," ORDINARY

AMERICANS HAVE UNDERSTOOD --- EVEN WHEN THE

REAGAN ADMINISTRATION DID NOT.

THE FRUSTRATION IS THAT SO MUCH OF OUR

ENERGIES HAVE GONE INTO A HOLDING ACTION.

BUT IT COULD HAVE BEEN WORSE.

SO LIKE YOU., I HAVE MIXED FEELINGS TODAY -MIXED FEELINGS BECAUSE I WOULD PREFER TO MAKE
HEADWAY RATHER THAN HOLD THE LINE/ AND
BECAUSE THERE STILL ARE TOO MANY PEOPLE IN
THIS COUNTRY WHO ARE WITHOUT FOOD., WITHOUT
SHELTER AND WITHOUT A DECENT STANDARD OF
LIVING,

THE MOST RECENT SURVEY BY THE U.S, CONFERENCE
OF MAYORS, PUBLISHED LAST DECEMBER, SHOWED
HUNGER INCREASING IN 22 OF THE 25 CITIES

SURVEYED, IN 20 OF THOSE CITIES, THERE WERE
SUBSTANTIAL INCREASES IN THE NUMBER OF
FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN AND THE NUMBER OF

ELDERLY REQUESTING EMERGENCY FOOD ASSISTANCE,

THIRTEEN CITIES REPORTED THAT EMERGENCY FOOD

ASSISTANCE FACILITIES WERE TURNING AWAY

PEOPLE IN NEED BECAUSE OF LACK OF RESOURCES,

IN OTHER WORDS) NOT ENOUGH SUPPLIES AND NOT

ENOUGH STAFF,

DENVER REPORTED NO 24-HOUR FACILITIES AND SAID THAT 20 PERCENT OF THE CALLS FOR HELP CAME AFTER OPERATING HOURS,

KANSAS CITY REPORTED THAT ONE-THIRD OF ITS

LOCAL PANTRIES WERE PROVIDING LESS THAN A

THREE DAY SUPPLY OF FOOD.

AND 21 OF THE 25 CITIES SAID THEY EXPECTED THE DEMAND TO INCREASE IN 1987.

The story is the same in rural areas' public voice surveyed the

NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF THE RURAL POOR AND FOUND
THAT THE RURAL POOR OFTEN RECEIVE LESS THAN 40
PERCENT OF THE PER CAPITA LEVEL OF ASSISTANCE
THAT THE URBAN POOR RECEIVE' MOREOVER., SINCE
1981 WHEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DROPPED ITS
FOOD STAMP OUTREACH PROGRAM) THE NUMBER OF
RURAL POOR LEFT UNSERVED HAS RISEN
DRAMATICALLY.

WHY

THE OBVIOUS QUESTIONS THESE DATA RAISE ARE NOT WHAT HAS HAPPENED, BUT WHAT HAS NOT

HAPPENED; NOT WHY THERE CONTINUE TO BE HUNGRY
PEOPLE IN AMERICA., BUT WHY THIS NATION ALLOWS
HUNGER TO EXIST; NOT WHETHER WE CAN ELIMINATE
HUNGER., BUT WHEN WE ARE GOING TO DO IT; AND

IF WE ARE GOING TO DO IT) WHEN WE ARE GOING TO GET OUR PRIORITIES IN ORDER AND STOP

ASKING THE PRIVATE SECTOR TO DO THE WORK THAT GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING,

NONE OF US CAN QUARREL TOO MUCH WITH THE WAY
THE PRIVATE SECTOR HAS RESPONDED TO AMERICA'S
HUNGRY DURING THE PAST SIX YEARS. FOOD BANKS
AND EMERGENCY KITCHENS HAVE BEEN SUPPORTED BY
A WIDE RANGE OF ORGANIZATIONS AND STAFFED BY
A VIRTUAL ARMY OF VOLUNTEERS, RELIGIOUS
GROUPS HAVE BEEN EXCEPTIONALLY GENEROUS
PROVIDERS AND SUPPORTERS,

THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY ALSO IS INVOLVED TO A
DEGREE MANY WOULD HAVE THOUGHT IMPOSSIBLE

JUST A FEW YEARS AGO, I HAVE SEEN

CORPORATIONS THAT ONCE COULDN'T SEE MUCH

BEYOND THEIR OWN BOARDROOMS BECOME ACTIVELY

INVOLVED IN SECOND HARVEST AND ENCOURAGE

THEIR EMPLOYEES TO BECOME VOLUNTEERS IN LOCAL
FOOD BANKS AND PANTRIES.

OUR QUARREL IS WITH THE PUBLIC SECTOR, WITH A
PHILOSOPHY OF SOCIAL ACTION THAT PUTS
GOVERNMENT ON THE BACK BURNER, THAT LOOKS AT
HUNGER, POVERTY AND HOMELESSNESS AS ISSUES
PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS AND INDIVIDUAL CITIZENS
CAN ADDRESS IN THEIR SPARE TIME, AT NIGHT AND
ON WEEKENDS,

IT CAN'T BE DONE,

You KNOW IT I KNOW IT. SO DOES EVERYONE
ELSE IN THIS CITY.

WE SEEM TO HAVE DRIFTED AWAY FROM AN UNDERSTANDING OF OUR OWN HISTORY AND THE ROLE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS PLAYED IN THAT HISTORY.

IN THE PAST FEW YEARS) WE HAVE TURNED OUR

PAST UPSIDE DOWN.; WE HAVE SEEMINGLY REDEFINED

GOVERNMENT AS A NEGATIVE FORCE IN OUR LIVES)

AS AN INSTITUTION THAT WEAKENS OUR SOCIAL

FABRIC AND LIMITS OUR POTENTIAL,

I SUBMIT THAT INSTEAD WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE

GOVERNMENT ONCE AGAIN AS A MANIFESTATION OF

OUR NATIONAL PURPOSES AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE

KIND OF SOCIETY WE WANT TO BECOME.; NOT IN A

CONTROLLING SENSE BUT IN A LIBERATING SENSE.

WE NEED TO PUT ASIDE THE MYTH THAT THE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SUFFERING OF THE 1980s IS THE RESULT OF GOVERNMENT FAILURE DURING THE 1960s AND 1970s. WE NEED INSTEAD TO SEE GOVERNMENT AS THE ONE INSTITUTION IN OUR SOCIETY THAT CAN GIVE SHAPE AND SUBSTANCE TO THE HOPES AND ACTIVITIES WHICH INDIVIDUALS CANNOT FULLY ACHIEVE WHEN ACTING ONLY THROUGH PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS AND VOLUNTARY ORGANIZATIONS.

GOVERNMENT ACTION DOES NOT DIMINISH PRIVATE

SECTOR INVOLVEMENT. IT EXPANDS IT, ENHANCES

IT, PROVIDES IT WITH A FOUNDATION AND A

FRAMEWORK. WHEN GOVERNMENT PLAYS AN ACTIVE

AND POSITIVE ROLE, THE WHOLE DOES INDEED

BECOME GREATER THAN THE SUM OF ITS PARTS.

THERE IS NO BETTER EXAMPLE THAN OUR EFFORTS

TO FEED THE HUNGRY,

I THINK THAT MOST OF YOU ARE VERY EFFECTIVE

IN YOUR INDIVIDUAL ACTIVITIES. THE

ORGANIZATIONS AND AGENCIES WITH WHICH YOU ARE

AFFILIATED ALSO ARE EFFECTIVE.

BUT THINK HOW MUCH MORE EFFECTIVE YOU COULD

BE IF THIS COUNTRY EXPANDED ITS COMMITMENT AND

ITS PROGRAMS TO FEED PEOPLE WHO ARE HUNGRY.

THINK HOW MUCH MORE EFFECTIVE YOU COULD BE IF

EMERGENCY FOOD BANKS WERE JUST ONE TYPE OF

PROGRAM AVAILABLE TO PEOPLE IN NEED INSTEAD

OF THE ONLY PROGRAM FOR SO MANY TENS OF

THOUSANDS,

THINK OF HOW MUCH MORE EFFECTIVE YOU COULD BE

IF YOU COULD SPEND MORE TIME FEEDING THE

HUNGRY AND LESS TIME FIGHTING BUREAUCRATIC

OBSTACLES THAT PREVENT PEOPLE FROM RECEIVING

ASSISTANCE,

WE NEED A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON HUNGER IN THIS COUNTRY.

WE NEED TO STOP SPENDING SO MUCH TIME
DEBATING AND DEFINING LEVELS OF NEED AND
START BEING MORE RESPONSIVE TO THAT NEED.

OF COURSE IT'S POSSIBLE TO ARGUE THAT THE FAMILY THAT MISSES FOUR MEALS A MONTH HAS A DIFFERENT LEVEL OF NEED THAN THE FAMILY THAT MISSES 15 MEALS A MONTH.

IT'S ALSO POSSIBLE TO ARGUE THAT THE FAMILY
THAT HAS BEEN UNABLE TO PROVIDE FOOD FOR
THEIR CHILDREN FOR A WEEK IS IN A DIFFERENT

CATEGORY THAN THE FAMILY THAT HAS BEEN UNABLE TO FEED ITS CHILDREN FOR SEVERAL MONTHS.

YOUCAN ARGUES TOO., THAT PEOPLE WHO DO NOT VIEW THEMSELVES AS VICTIMS OF HUNGERS EVEN THOUGH THEY CLEARLY ARE HUNGRY AND IN NEED., ARE SOMEHOW IN A DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATION THAN THOSE WHO VIEW THEMSELVES AS NEEDY.

BUT WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT REALLY MAKE?

IS HUNGER A COMPETITION TO DETERMINE WHO IS
THE MOST HUNGRY, THE MOST NEEDY, THE MOST
DESERVING?

IS HUNGER A CONTEST IN WHICH WE CAUTIOUSLY
RATION OUT OUR COMPASSION TO ONLY THE MOST
DESPERATE AND THE MOST HOPELESS?

FOR HIS CHILDREN THAN MRS. Z. IT IS NOT WHETHER THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO ARE

CHRONICALLY HUNGRY IS LARGER THAN THE NUMBER WHO ARE EPISODICALLY HUNGRY. THAT DEBATE HELPS NOBODY,

WE NEED INSTEAD TO LOOK BEHIND THE STATISTICS) THE CATEGORIES AND THE COMPARISONS AND REMIND OURSELVES ABOUT

- OF COFFEE AND CRACKERS TWICE A DAY SO

 HER CHILDREN CAN HAVE THE SMALL AMOUNT

 OF NOURISHING FOOD THAT IS AVAILABLE TO

 THE FAMILY,
- O THE FAMILY THAT TRIES NOT TO SPEND ANY

 MONEY ON FOOD ONE WEEK A MONTH SO THE

 CHILDREN CAN HAVE SHOES AND CLOTHING AND

 THE FAMILY CAN STAY OUT OF DEBT.

O THE ELDERLY WOMAN WHO EATS A CUP OF

BEANS AND A SMALL SQUARE OF FRIED BREAD

EACH DAY -- AND NOTHING ELSE -- BECAUSE

SHE IS TOO EMBARRASSED TO ASK FOR HELP,

WE NEED TO FACE THE FACT THAT THIS NATION

STILL HAS NOT COMMITTED ITSELF TO PROVIDING

PEOPLE WHO ARE HUNGRY WITH ACCESS TO A DIET

THAT IS BOTH NUTRITIOUS AND AFFORDABLE,

ALL OF US ARE HERE TODAY TO DEVELOP A PLAN OF
ACTION ON A VARIETY OF FRONTS. WE WANT TO
LEAVE HERE ON TUESDAY WITH A BETTER SENSE OF
HOW TO PROVIDE HUNGRY PEOPLE WITH ACCESS TO
FEDERAL FOOD PROGRAMS) HOW TO INVOLVE

EMERGENCY FOOD PROVIDERS IN LARGER NETWORKS)

HOW TO DOCUMENT HUNGER IN OUR OWN COMMUNITIES

IN ORDER TO FOCUS PUBLIC ATTENTION ON THE

PROBLEM) HOW TO REDUCE BARRIERS TO FOOD STAMP

PARTICIPATION) AND HOW TO RAISE FUNDS FOR OUR

PROGRAMS.

EACH TOPIC IS IMPORTANT. WE SHOULD NOT

OVERLOOK OR MINIMIZE ANY OF THEM, BUT OUR

ACTION PLAN ALSO MUST INCLUDE SENDING STRONG

AND REPEATED MESSAGES TO CAPITOL HILL, TO

STATE CAPITOLS, TO CITY HALLS, TO PRIVATE

INDUSTRY AND TO THE WHITE HOUSE THAT, AS A

NATION, OUR RESPONSE TO HUNGER STILL IS

INADEQUATE,

THE EVIDENCE IS ALL AROUND US, WE SEE IT EVERY DAY.

SOME \$12 BILLION HAS BEEN CUT FROM FEDERAL

FOOD PROGRAMS OVER A FOUR-YEAR PERIOD -
\$7 BILLION FROM THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM AND

\$5 BILLION FROM THE SCHOOL MEAL PROGRAMS,

THE WIC PROGRAM IS ONE OF THE FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT'S MOST SUCCESSFUL EFFORTS) BUT IT

DOES NOT EVEN HAVE ENOUGH FUNDS TO SERVE ONE

OF EVERY TWO PEOPLE WHO ARE ELIGIBLE.

AND THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM DOESN'T EVEN DO
THE JOB FOR MANY PEOPLE WHO RECEIVE ITS
BENEFITS,

HOW COULD IT? FOOD STAMP BENEFITS AVERAGE 50

CENTS PER PERSON PER MEAL, YOU CAN BUY RICE

OR BEANS WITH THAT AMOUNT) BUT NOT MUCH MEAT)

FRESH FRUIT OR VEGETABLES, IS IT ANY WONDER

FAMILIES THAT RECEIVE FOOD STAMPS OFTEN RUN

OUT BEFORE THE END OF THE MONTH) OR THAT

MOTHERS CURB THE HUNGER IN THEIR CHILDREN

WITH POPCORN AND WATER.

THE PROBLEM IS THAT THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM NO LONGER IS A PROGRAM BASED ON HOW MUCH IT

ACTUALLY COSTS PEOPLE TO EAT, IT'S A PROGRAM

DESIGNED TO KEEP FEDERAL SPENDING TO A

MINIMUM, THE 'THRIFTY FOOD PLAN' ON WHICH

THE PROGRAM IS BASED IS THE CHEAPEST FOOD

PLAN EVER DEVISED BY THE U,S, DEPARTMENT OF

AGRICULTURE.



ASTHE PHYSICIANS TASK FORCE ON HUNGER IN

AMERICA NOTED: "THE THRIFTY FOOD PLAN IS AN

EXAMPLE OF BUREAUCRACY GONE AWRY. AFEDERAL

EXPENDITURE LEVEL WAS SET) AND A COMPUTER WAS

PROGRAMMED TO DESIGN A FOOD PLAN EQUAL TO

THAT LEVEL -- IRRESPECTIVE OF HUMAN NEEDS."

AND WHEN THE FOOD RESEARCH AND ACTION CENTER

TOOK A CLOSER LOOK AT THE THRIFTY FOOD PLAN,

IT FOUND THE PLAN LACKING IN TERMS OF BUYING

POWER, FRAC SURVEYED EIGHT MAJOR

METROPOLITAN AREAS AND FOUND THAT A FAMILY OF FOUR NEEDED SUBSTANTIALLY MORE MONEY EACH

MONTH TO PURCHASE THE FOOD ITEMS CONTAINED IN

THE THRIFTY FOOD PLAN THAN THE FEDERAL FOOD

STAMP BENEFITS SCHEDULE ALLOWED,

WE WANT STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS INVOLVED

IN HUNGER PROGRAMS. WE WANT MORE PRIVATE

SECTOR INVOLVEMENT. BUT ONLY THE FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT CAN PROVIDE THE FOUNDATION WE NEED

AND THE STABILITY WE NEED, THE PRIVATE

SECTOR CANNOT PROVIDE EITHER, NO MATTER HOW

WELL INTENTIONED AN INDIVIDUAL COMPANY OR

GROUP OF COMPANIES.

THERE IS MORE TO FEEDING THE HUNGRY THAN

DONATING OR BUYING FOOD, YOU HAVE TO DEVELOP

A SYSTEM TO GET THE FOOD TO THE PEOPLE - NOT

JUST EVERY NOW AND THEN./ BUT ON A REGULAR AND

A CONSISTENT BASIS, YOU HAVE TO BE

DEPENDABLE AND YOU HAVE TO BE CONSISTENT, A
CORPORATION MIGHT LIKE TO FILL THAT KIND OF
ROLES BUT ANY CORPORATION THAT WANTS TO STAY
IN BUSINESS JUST DOES NOT HAVE THE RESOURCES
TO DEVOTE TO THAT KIND OF REGULAR ACTIVITY.

EVEN IF SOME DID., WHAT KIND OF ORGANIZATIONAL NETWORK WOULD THEY DEVELOP AMONG THEMSELVES IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT WHETHER A FAMILY WAS FED DID NOT DEPEND ON THE ACCIDENT OF GEOGRAPHY., THE FACT THAT THE FAMILY WAS

FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO LIVE IN AN AREA SERVED BY THE CORPORATION?

WHAT HAPPENS IF PROFITS DROP? NO COMPANY IS

GOING TO MAINTAIN SOCIAL PROGRAMS THAT

PRODUCE NO DIRECT REVENUES IF IT IS UNDER

PRESSURE TO CUT BUSINESS COSTS, EVEN NOW)

THE LEVEL OF CORPORATE DONATIONS TO FOOD

BANKS SOMETIMES DEPENDS UPON HOW WELL A

COMPANY DID LAST QUARTER.

LET'S CONTINUE URGING CORPORATE AMERICA TO BECOME INVOLVED. LET'S CONTINUE PUTTING PRESSURE ON CORPORATIONS TO MAINTAIN OR INCREASE THEIR LEVELS OF CONTRIBUTION AND PARTICIPATION. NOW THAT WE HAVE SO MANY MEMBERS OF THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY ON THE HOOK., LET'S NOT LET THEM OFF THE HOOK,

BUT LET'S NOT MAKE THE GRIEVOUS ERROR OF

ASKING CORPORATE AMERICA TO DO THE JOB THAT

GOVERNMENT IS SUPPOSED TO DO, IF WE ALLOW

THAT TO HAPPEN., WE WILL BE LOSERS.

POLITICAL CONSERVATIVES HAVE SPENT A LOT OF
TIME IN THIS COUNTRY DURING THE LAST SEVERAL
YEARS TRYING TO IMPLEMENT A PLATFORM THAT
WOULD ALLOW GOVERNMENT TO ABDICATE SOME OF
ITS BASIC RESPONSIBILITIES. IT'S FINALLY
TIME TO END THAT DOMINANCE AND FACE REALITY
ONCE AGAIN, IT'S TIME TO ACKNOWLEDGE OUR
HISTORY AND OUR TRADITIONS AND DEVELOP A

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF FEDERAL ACTION TO FEED THE HUNGRY,

BUT CAN WE AFFORD THIS?

LET ME ASK ANOTHER QUESTION. How CAN WE ${\hbox{{\tt NOT}}}$ AFFORD TO DO THIS?

IF MONEY IS ALL THAT IS HOLDING US BACK) I
WOULD SUGGEST THAT IF THE PENTAGON HAD TO
MEET THE STANDARDS AND CRITERIA THAT HUNGRY
AMERICANS HAVE TO MEET BEFORE THEY RECEIVE
SOME HELP., WE WOULD HAVE MORE THAN ENOUGH
MONEY TO FEED THE HUNGRY AND THE

MALNOURISHED.

SOME PUBLIC POLICY ISSUES ARE SO COMPLEX THAT
WE CAN BARELY BEGIN TO COMPREHEND THEM) LET
ALONE BEGIN TO WORK ON SOLUTIONS.

HUNGER IS NOT ONE OF THOSE ISSUES,

THERE IS NO MYSTERY ABOUT HUNGER, IT OCCURS
WHEN PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH TO EAT,
MALNUTRITION IS NO MYSTERY, EITHER, IT

OCCURS WHEN PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH OF THE RIGHT KINDS OF FOOD TO EAT, BOTH INVARIABLY OCCUR WHEN PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO BUY FOOD, OR WHEN THE GOVERNMENT AND

PRIVATE AGENCIES THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE ARE UNABLE TO DO SO,

WE KNOW, TOO, HOW HUNGER AND MALNUTRITION CAN
SEVERELY DAMAGE INFANT HEALTH, CHILD GROWTH
AND DEVELOPMENT, LEARNING CAPABILITY AND
SELF-ESTEEM AND SELF-RESPECT.

WHAT MORE CAN WE POSSIBLY NEED TO KNOW IN ORDER TO ACT?

ALBERT CAMUS ONCE OBSERVED THAT YOU CAN CURE EVERY INJUSTICE IN THE WORLD AND CHILDREN STILL WILL CRY, ASKING FOR A NATIONAL

PROGRAM TO COMBAT HUNGER IS HARDLY A REQUEST TO CURE ALL THE INJUSTICES IN THE WORLD.

WE KNOW CHILDREN WILL ALWAYS CRY, WHAT WE DON'T UNDERSTANDS IS WHY THEY MUST GO HUNGRY. THANK YOU.