WHAT CHILDREN REALLY NEED

REMARKS BY

WILLIAM S. WOODSIDE

PRESIDENT, PRIMERICA FOUNDATION

PRESENTED TO THE
GRANTMAKERS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH
THIRD ANNUAL CONFERENCE

OMNI HOTEL
DETROIT, MI.
OCTOBER 5, 1988

WE MEET AT AN UNUSUAL AND OPPORTUNE MOMENT.

NOT JUST BECAUSE THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION IS UNDERWAY.

BUT BECAUSE THIS IS THE FIRST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION IN MY MEMORY AT LEAST IN WHICH

THE NEEDS OF CHILDREN ARE BEING SO VIGOROUSLY
PUSHED TO THE FOREFRONT OF THE POLITICAL
STAGE. JUST LAST WEEK, FOR EXAMPLE, THE

NEW YORK TIMES PUBLISHED A REMARKABLE

SERIES OF FOUR EDITORIALS ON CHILDREN'S

ISSUES REPLETE WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR

WHAT THE NEXT PRESIDENT AND CONGRESS CAN

DO TO IMPROVE THE LIVES AND LIVING CONDITIONS OF CHILDREN IN AMERICA.

IT IS, OF COURSE, TOO

SOON TO KNOW IF THE RHETORIC OF THIS

CAMPAIGN SEASON WILL GROW INTO THE

SUBSTANCE OF NEXT MARCH AND APRIL;

WHETHER, AFTER MANY YEARS OF BENIGN NEGLECT,

THE CHILDREN OF THIS COUNTRY WILL INDEED BE

ACCORDED THEIR RIGHTFUL PLACE ON

THE AMERICAN POLITICAL AGENDA.

IF THAT DOES HAPPEN, MANY OF YOU WILL
HOPEFULLY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO HELP
SHAPE AND DEFINE THAT AGENDA, TO PLAY AN
ACTIVE ROLE IN PUTTING THE AGENDA INTO
PRACTICE. SOME OF YOU MAY EVEN FIND
YOURSELVES PLAYING A MORE ACTIVE AND
ASSERTIVE ROLE THAN YOU ONCE THOUGHT
POSSIBLE -- BOTH PERSONALLY AND
INSTITUTIONALLY. I CERTAINLY HOPE SO.

AND THAT BRINGS US TO THE OBVIOUS QUESTION
AND THE FOCUS OF MY REMARKS THIS
AFTERNOON:

WHY WAIT UNTIL NEXT

YEAR:

REGARDLESS OF WHAT HAPPENS NEXT MONTH, OR NEXT MARCH OR APRIL, IT IS NOT TOO SOON FOR THOSE OF US INVOLVED IN CHILDREN'S ISSUES, AND PROGRAMS AFFECTING CHILDREN, TO BEGIN DEVELOPING OUR OWN POLITICAL STRATEGIES. IT IS NOT TOO SOON FOR US TO GIVE A CHILDREN'S AGENDA SOME STRUCTURE AND CONTENT. IT IS NOT TOO SOON TO ORGANIZE OURSELVES INTO COALITIONS THAT CAN WORK TO ACHIEVE THESE GOALS. IT IS NOT TOO SOON TO ENLIST OTHERS IN THESE EFFORTS.

IT IS NOT TOO SOON BECAUSE THE COUNTDOWN TO THE 21ST CENTURY IS WELL UNDERWAY.

FOR SEVERAL YEARS NOW, WE HAVE LOOKED AT
THE YEAR 2000 AS A BENCHMARK OF OUR
PROGRESS AND SHORTCOMINGS. WHAT HAPPENS
IN ANY SINGLE YEAR OBVIOUSLY IS NOT GOING

TO SET THE ENTIRE NATION ON AN IRREVERSIBLE COURSE.

BUT ON THE OTHER HAND,

THE 21ST CENTURY NO LONGER IS AN

ABSTRACTION. IT IS A REALITY, A REALITY WE

CAN SEE IN CLASSROOMS ALL ACROSS THE

COUNTRY. THOSE YOUNGSTERS WHO TOOK THEIR

SEATS IN THE FIRST GRADE A MONTH AGO

BELONG TO THE CLASS OF 2000. THEY WILL BE

THE FIRST GROUP OF HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

TO GRADUATE IN THE 21ST CENTURY.

WE ALREADY KNOW, AS A RESULT OF DATA

DEVELOPED BY THE CHILDREN'S DEFENSE FUND,

THAT ONE IN FOUR OF THESE YOUNGSTERS IS

POOR, ONE IN FIVE IS AT RISK OF BECOMING A

TEENAGE PARENT, AND ONE IN SEVEN WILL

BECOME A HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUT -- PROBABLY

BEFORE HIS OR HER JUNIOR YEAR. NOT AN

AUSPICIOUS START.

WHAT WE DON'T KNOW IS WHETHER WE CAN TURN
THOSE NUMBERS AROUND TO ANY APPRECIABLE
DEGREE IN THE NEXT 12 YEARS AND BEGIN TO
SET A DIFFERENT AND MORE PROMISING PATTERN
IN MOTION.

IT'S GOING TO BE AN

EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TASK, MUCH MORE DIFFICULT THAN WE ONCE IMAGINED.

THERE ARE TWO MAIN REASONS FOR THIS.

THE FIRST IS OUR OWN HISTORY OF RESPONDING

-- OR FAILING TO RESPOND -- TO THE NEEDS

OF THE DISADVANTAGED, PARTICULARLY DURING

THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS.

WE TALK A GOOD GAME. WE TALK AN

ABSOLUTELY WONDERFUL GAME. ALL-STAR

QUALITY, IN FACT. WE USE THE CORRECT

WORDS IN OUR PUBLIC PRONOUNCEMENTS, MAKE

SOARING AND IMPASSIONED PLEAS IN OUR

EDITORIALS. BUT EXCEPT FOR A BRIEF PERIOD

IN THE SIXTIES WHEN WE DEVELOPED AND

IMPLEMENTED A RANGE OF PROGRAMS AIMED AT

PROVIDING ALL CHILDREN WITH A RELATIVELY

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IN LIFE, OUR PERFORMANCE

HAS NOT MEASURED UP.

OUR GOALS, WE TELL

OURSELVES, ARE TO IMPROVE EDUCATIONAL
OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE POOR, DEMONSTRATE
THAT THE CYCLE OF POVERTY CAN BE BROKEN,
AND MAKE A MAJOR COMMITMENT TO IMPROVING
THE LIVES OF CHILDREN.

IN POINT OF FACT, HOWEVER, WE FAIL TO

FULLY FUND THE HEAD START PROGRAM, PLACE

LIMITS ON THE NUMBER OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN

WHO RECEIVE THE BENEFITS OF FOOD AND

NUTRITION PROGRAMS, AND LIMIT THE

CHAPTER I ASSISTANCE WE PROVIDE OUR PUBLIC

SCHOOLS.

OUR INFANT MORTALITY RATES ARE A NATIONAL TRAGEDY.

THE BUDGET DEFICIT USUALLY IS CITED AS THE REASON WE DO NOT DO A BETTER JOB OF MEETING THE NEEDS OF CHILDREN AND THE POOR. WE CANNOT AFFORD TO UNDERTAKE MAJOR SPENDING EFFORTS RIGHT NOW, WE SAY.

PERHAPS LATER WHEN OUR FISCAL POSITION CAN SUSTAIN MAJOR OUTLAYS.

ONLY LATER NEVER

SEEMS TO COME. AND IN THE MEANTIME, THE
CHILDREN OF POVERTY, WHO DID NOT CREATE THE
DEFICIT IN THE FIRST PLACE, CONTINUE TO PAY
FOR IT.

I DON'T THINK THE DEFICIT IS OUR REAL
PROBLEM. OUR REAL PROBLEM IS THAT DESPITE
ALL OUR RICHES AND OUTWARD SIGNS OF SUCCESS
AND WELL BEING, WE HAVE LOST OUR SENSE OF
COMMUNITY, COHESIVENESS AND COMMON PURPOSE.
WE HAVE LOST THE BOND THAT ALL SOCIETIES
NEED IN ORDER TO TRANSFORM THEIR FINANCIAL
ACHIEVEMENTS INTO SOCIAL AND MORAL GROWTH.

WE HAVE LOST OUR CONNECTION TO THOSE MILLIONS OF AMERICANS:

- WHOSE BACKGROUND IS DIFFERENT FROM OURS,
- WHOSE LIFESTYLE IS DIFFERENT FROM
 OURS,
- WHOSE NATIVE LANGUAGE IS DIFFERENT
 FROM OURS, AND
- WHOSE EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT
 OPPORTUNITIES ARE MUCH DIFFERENT FROM
 OURS...

IF THE AMERICA OF THE 1950'S CAN BE VIEWED AS THE "LONELY CROWD", TO RECALL THE PHRASE SOCIOLOGIST DAVID REISMAN MADE FAMOUS, THE AMERICA OF THE 1980'S IS FAST BECOMING THE "ISOLATED CROWD."

THE SECOND REASON THE TASK BEFORE US WILL
BE SO DIFFICULT IS BECAUSE THE POVERTY IN
WHICH SO MANY CHILDREN ARE LIVING HAS
BECOME INCREASINGLY SEVERE AND OPPRESSIVE
AND INCREASINGLY DIFFICULT TO ESCAPE.

THE OVERALL POVERTY RATE HAS BEEN HOLDING
STEADY AT 14 PERCENT. THAT'S HARDLY
ENCOURAGING NEWS, ALTHOUGH SOME FIND
SOLACE IN THE FACT THAT IT IS A LITTLE
LOWER THAN IT ONCE WAS.

BUT LOOK AT WHAT'S HAPPENING BENEATH THE SURFACE:

WHICH THE INCOME OF THE POOR FALLS

BELOW THE POVERTY LINE, IS LARGER

THAN AT ANY TIME IN 27 YEARS, EXCEPT

FOR THE HIGH UNEMPLOYMENT YEAR OF

1983.

- THE PROPORTION OF POOR WHO FALL INTO
 THE CATEGORY OF THE POOREST OF THE
 POOR IS AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL IN MORE
 THAN A DECADE.
- THE GAP BETWEEN THE RICH AND THE POOR
 IS WIDER THAN IT HAS EVER BEEN.
- THE POVERTY RATE AMONG YOUNG FAMILIES

 IS INCREASING FASTER THAN ANY OTHER

 AGE GROUP.

- THE PROPORTION OF YOUNG MEN WHO COULD NOT EARN ENOUGH TO KEEP A FAMILY OF THREE ABOVE THE POVERTY LINE INCREASED FROM 42 TO 60 PERCENT BETWEEN 1974 AND 1983.
- THE POVERTY RATE AMONG YOUNG FAMILIES
 WITH CHILDREN DOUBLED DURING THE SAME
 PERIOD AND BY 1985, NEARLY HALF OF
 ALL YOUNG FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN
 LIVED IN POVERTY.

ALL THE OLD FACTORS ARE AT WORK HERE --AND SO IS A NEW ONE. OUR ECONOMY IS UNDERGOING A FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT. THE OLD MANUFACTURING ECONOMY OF THE PAST HAD THE CAPACITY TO ABSORB AND ACCOMMODATE LESSER SKILLED WORKERS. THE NEW SERVICE-TECHNOLOGICAL ECONOMY DOES NOT. IT GOBBLES UP EVERY HIGHLY TRAINED AND WELL-EDUCATED PERSON, BUT IT HAS NO ROOM FOR THE SEMI-SKILLED, THE LESSER SKILLED AND THE UNSKILLED. THE FANCY NAME FOR THAT IS STRUCTURAL POVERTY.

WITHOUT QUESTION, ALL THESE FACTORS, BOTH

NEW AND OLD, HAVE PRODUCED A POVERTY

UNLIKE ANY WE HAVE KNOWN BEFORE AND UNLIKE

ANY THE POOR HAVE KNOWN BEFORE. THIS

POVERTY IS MORE OPPRESSIVE AND MORE

STIFLING. IT IS A POVERTY IN WHICH

CHILDREN OFTEN END UP WORSE OFF THAN THEIR

PARENTS.

THE AMERICAN ECONOMY HAS BEEN BUILT AROUND ONE BASIC IDEA: TOMORROW'S GENERATION WILL DO BETTER THAN TODAY'S. THE POOR BELIEVED THAT IDEAL AS WELL. THAT'S WHAT HELD US TOGETHER AS A NATION WHEN LOGIC AND EVENTS SEEMED TO DICTATE THAT. WE WOULD TEAR OURSELVES APART.

BUT IF THOSE AT THE BOTTOM OF THE

ECONOMIC LADDER NO LONGER BELIEVE THE

CHILD'S FUTURE HOLDS MORE PROMISE THAN THE

PARENT'S PAST, WE WILL BE IN SERIOUS

TROUBLE INDEED. UNFORTUNATELY, THAT MAY

BE THE DIRECTION IN WHICH WE ARE HEADING.

WITH PROBLEMS LIKE THESE, WE DON; T HAVE

MUCH OF A CHOICE ANYMORE. THESE AREN'T

MATTERS THAT AFFECT ONLY CHILDREN, THOUGH

CHILDREN OFTEN ARE OUR PRIMARY CONCERN.

THESE ARE PROBLEMS THAT WILL DETERMINE THE

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC DIRECTION OF THE

NATION.

THEY ARE MATTERS THAT FORCE US TO ASK HOW

MUCH LONGER WE CAM TOLERATE A

DISPROPORTIONATELY HIGH AND CONTINUOUS

POVERTY RATE; HOW MUCH LONGER THE SOCIAL

DISORGANIZATION THAT ABOUNDS SO VIVIDLY IN

OUR URBAN AREAS AND WHICH OFTEN REMAINS

HIDDEN IN SMALL TOWNS AND RURAL AREAS WILL

BE ALLOWED TO CONTINUE; HOW MANY MORE

YOUNG PEOPLE WILL NOT EVEN REACH THE DOORS

OF OPPORTUNITY, LET ALONE WALK THROUGH

THEM.

ALL OF US KNOW THIS IS A HERCULEAN TASK.

BUT WE ALSO KNOW WE ARE LONG PAST THE

LET'S-DO-IT-WHEN-WE-GET-AROUND-TO-IT

STAGE. WE ALSO KNOW WE CANNOT LOOK FOR

MICROWAVE SOLUTIONS. THIRTY MINUTES ON

"HIGH" MAY WORK FOR FOOD, BUT IT DOESN'T

MEAN A THING WHERE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC

POLICY IS CONCERNED.

WE CANNOT TRIVIALIZE THE TASK BEFORE US.

THE 21ST CENTURY IS GOING TO BE THE

ULTIMATE TEST OF THE AMERICAN DREAM, THE

PERIOD OF TIME DURING WHICH WE WILL HAVE

TO MAKE GOOD ON OUR PROMISE OF OPPORTUNITY,

EQUALITY AND EQUITY OR SUFFER SOME SERIOUS

CONSEQUENCES. WE SIMPLY CAN NO LONGER

AFFORD TO ALLOW THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO

ARE NOT RECOGNIZED AS EQUAL PARTICIPANTS

IN OUR SOCIETY, EITHER BY THEMSELVES OR BY

OTHERS, TO GROW ANY LARGER.

I AM NOT TALKING ABOUT TEARING DOWN OUR

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STRUCTURE AND STARTING

OVER. I¹M TALKING ABOUT STARTING WHERE WE

CAN MAKE A SUBSTANTIAL DIFFERENCE AND THEN

BUILDING ON THOSE SUCCESSES.

THE MOMENT CERTAINLY SEEMS RIPE, FOR

EXAMPLE, FOR AN INTENSIVE NATIONAL EFFORT

TO IMPROVE THE EARLY LIVES OF CHILDREN; TO

PUT INTO OPERATION, ON A MUCH LARGER AND

MORE EXTENSIVE SCALE THAN BEFORE, PROGRAMS

WE KNOW CAN IMPROVE THE ODDS THAT CHILDREN

WHO ARE DISADVANTAGED TODAY WILL HAVE A

BETTER FUTURE TOMORROW.

THE AGENDA FOR THAT EFFORT COULD INCLUDE:

- 1. ACCESS TO PRENATAL CARE FOR ALL PREGNANT WOMEN.
- 2. ENDING CHILDHOOD HUNGER IN AMERICA.
- 3. FULL PARTICIPATION IN THE WIC PROGRAM
 AND SIMILAR STATE PROGRAMS.
- 4. IMMUNIZING EVERY CHILD AGAINST INFECTIOUS DISEASES.
- 5. MAKING QUALITY DAY CARE ACCESSIBLE TO
 CHILDREN OF PARENTS WHO MUST WORK.

- 6. EXPANDING HEAD START AND CHAPTER ONE TO ALL ELIGIBLE CHILDREN.
- 7. EFFECTIVE TEENAGE PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAMS.

NOT A RADICAL PROPOSAL IN THE BUNCH. JUST

A PACKAGE OF PROPOSALS WHICH, IF IMPLEMENTED,
WOULD PROVIDE US WITH THE NATIONAL COMMITMENT

HAVE TALKED ABOUT FOR SO MANY YEARS -- BUT WHICH WE HAVE NEVER REALLY HAD.

TO IMPROVE THE LIVES OF CHILDREN THAT WE

PUTTING SUCH A PROGRAM INTO OPERATION WILL
REQUIRE A CHANGE OF ATTITUDE ON OUR PART.
IT WILL REQUIRE THAT WE NOT LOOK AT THE
PROGRAM AND ITS COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF
WHAT WE THINK WE CAN AND CANNOT DO, BUT
THAT WE LOOK AT THIS PROGRAM IN TERMS OF
WHAT CHILDREN ARE LOSING, INDEED WHAT WE
ARE ALL LOSING BY NOT INVESTING IN OUR
FUTURE.

OUR NATIONAL FOCUS SHOULD BE ON THE FACT
THAT RIGHT NOW, ONE OF EVERY FOUR CHILDREN
UNDER THE AGE OF SIX IS GROWING UP
DEPRIVED DURING THE MOST CRITICAL
DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD OF THEIR LIVES.

THESE ARE THE YEARS WHEN THE BASIC

FOUNDATION IS DEVELOPED FOR ALL THE

PHYSICAL, INTELLECTUAL AND EMOTIONAL GROWTH

THAT IS SUPPOSED TO OCCUR IN LATER YEARS.

IF A CHILD DOES NOT GROW AS TALL AS HE OR SHE MIGHT HAVE GROWN UNDER BETTER CONDITIONS, WE CANNOT GIVE BACK TO THAT CHILD THE HEIGHT THAT WAS LOST.

IF A CHILD'S ABILITY TO LEARN IS IMPAIRED
BECAUSE OF POOR NUTRITION, WE CANNOT
PROVIDE THAT CHILD WITH THE BRAIN CELLS
THAT FAILED TO DEVELOP.

IF A CHILD ENTERS SCHOOL WITH A LOW SENSE
OF SELF-ESTEEM AND PERSONAL WORTH BECAUSE
OF THE CONDITIONS IN WHICH HE OR SHE GREW
UP OR BECAUSE THAT CHILD WAS DENIED

EMOTIONAL SUSTENANCE, WE CANNOT REVERSE TIME AND START OVER.

THESE YEARS AND THIS DEVELOPMENT IS

IRREPLACEABLE. WHAT IS LOST DURING THIS

TIME IS LOST FOREVER.

SO FAR WE HAVE TALKED **ONLY ABOUT BROAD**, FAR-REACHING SOCIAL POLICY.

WHAT ABOUT THE LEVEL ON WHICH YOU AND SPEND MOST OF OUR WORKING DAYS? HOW DO WE BECOME A PART OF THIS? ARE WE RELEGATED TO THE STATUS OF CONCERNED OBSERVER, OR IS THERE SOMETHING WE CAN DO TO HELP SHAPE EVENTS?

IN ALL CANDOR I DON'T BELIEVE THAT PRIVATE

GRANTMAKING INSTITUTIONS CAN MAKE A

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON CHILDREN'S LIVES

SIMPLY BY FUNDING SERVICES. COLLECTIVELY

OUR FINANCIAL RESOURCES ARE INADEQUATE TO

THE NATIONAL NEED.

IN LARGE MEASURE, HOW WE RESPOND TO THE NEEDS OF POOR CHILDREN IN AMERICA, WILL BE DETERMINED BY GOVERNMENT POLICIES AND GOVERNMENT FUNDING. AND GOVERNMENT POLICIES AND FUNDING PRIORITIES ARE IN TURN SHAPED BY PUBLIC OPINION AND VOTER PREFERENCE.

IN TWENTIETH CENTURY AMERICA, GOVERNMENTS

-- FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL -- ARE THE 600

POUND GORILLAS, AND IT IS UTTER NONSENSE

TO WISH THESE GORILLAS AWAY. THEY ARE

GOING TO BE THE DOMINANT FORCE IN OUR

LIFETIME AND PROBABLY FOR OUR CHILDREN'S

LIFETIME AS WELL.

IT SEEMS TO ME, THEREFORE, THAT

FOUNDATIONS DEEPLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE
FUTURE OF CHILDREN IN AMERICA HAVE TO
THINK THROUGH THEIR RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE
PUBLIC SECTOR. IF WE PURSUE OUR MISSION
WEARING A SET OF BLINDERS WHICH PREVENT US
FROM RECOGNIZING THE DOMINANT ROLE OF

GOVERNMENT, WE WILL IMPERIL OUR
EFFECTIVENESS AND CONSIGN OURSELVES TO THE
PERIPHERY.

ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH TRYING TO TRAIN A 600 POUND GORILLA, AND THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH A PHILANTHROPIC ORGANIZATION USING SOME OF ITS RESOURCES TO SHAPE THE POLICIES AND PRIORITIES OF OUR GOVERNMENTS.

THERE IS NO REASON WHY THE GRANTMAKING
COMMUNITY SHOULD NOT BECOME MORE ACTIVE,
VOCAL, ASSERTIVE ADVOCATES FOR CHILDREN IN
THE PUBLIC POLICY MAKING ARENA.

THE WORLD OF PUBLIC POLICY MAY BE COMPLEX
AND MURKY AT TIMES. BUT IN THIS DAY AND
AGE, AN UNDERSTANDING AND KNOWLEDGE OF THE
PUBLIC POLICY PROCESS, AND A WILLINGNESS
TO PARTICIPATE IN IT, IS OF PARAMOUNT
IMPORTANCE.

I SUSPECT MANY OF YOU ARE ALREADY MOVING
IN THIS DIRECTION. IF YOU ARE, YOU
PROBABLY ARE EMPHASIZING GRANT PROGRAMS OR
PROJECTS WHICH SEEK TO ELEVATE PUBLIC
AWARENESS AND SHAPE PUBLIC OPINION ABOUT
CHILDREN IN POVERTY, OR WHICH PROVIDE
SOLID ANALYSIS OF THE SALIENT ISSUES AND
POLICY OPTIONS, OR EVEN MORE ASSERTIVELY
YOU MIGHT BE SUPPORTING A VARIETY OF
ADVOCACY PROJECTS ON BEHALF OF CHILDREN'S
NEEDS.

ALL THAT IS GOOD. IT DESERVES TO BE COMMENDED AND CONTINUED.

BUT IT MAY NO LONGER EVEN BE ENOUGH TO FUND NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS TO CONDUCT ADVOCACY PROJECTS IN OUR BEHALF. AS IMPORTANT AS THAT IS, IT IS STILL IN ITS OWN WAY AN ACT OF VENTRILOQUISM.

 ${f I}$ THINK WE CAN DO EVEN MORE.

WE CAN AND WE SHOULD BECOME ACTIVE AND
ASSERTIVE ADVOCATES OURSELVES. TO PUT A
NEW TWIST ON AN OLD PHRASE, WE HAVE TO PUT
OUR MOUTHS WHERE OUR MONEY'IS. WE NEED TO
START USING OUR ORGANIZATIONAL CREDIBILITY
IN ADDITION TO OUR FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO
PURSUE THE GOALS WE HOLD MOST DEAR.

DIRECTLY WHEN WE SEE SITUATIONS THAT ARE INTOLERABLE. WE NEED TO TAKE UNEQUIVOCAL

WE NEED TO SPEAK OUT

PUBLIC STANDS ON ISSUES AND TO COMMUNICATE

THEM WIDELY, TO SPEAK OUT ABOUT GOVERNMENT

POLICIES AND FUNDING PRIORITIES, TO MEET

WITH LEGISLATORS, TO PLAN WITH ADVOCATES.

IN SHORT, WE NEED TO STAND UP AND BE

COUNTED IN THE PUBLIC DEBATES! AND DON'T

WORRY ABOUT MAKING YOUR NEIGHBORS OR

COLLEAGUES FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE ABOUT A NEW

LEVEL OF OUTSPOKENNESS. IF MORE ADULTS

FELT UNCOMFORTABLE, PERHAPS FEWER CHILDREN

WOULD BE IN POVERTY.

IN CONCLUSION THEN, MY MESSAGE TO
YOU IS THIS: IT'S TIME FOR US -- AS
GRANTMAKING INSTITUTIONS CONCERNED
ABOUT THE LIVES AND LIVING
CONDITIONS OF CHILDREN -- TO

DEVELOP NEW OPERATING STRATEGIES.

THE OLD STRATEGY WAS THAT WE COULD DO GOOD BY GIVING AWAY MONEY.

THE NEW STRATEGY MUST BE THAT IF WE REALLY

MUCH MORE THAN GIVE AWAY MONEY.

THANK YOU.