TESTIMONY

A. CREDENTIALS FOR S.A.B.A. T.E.L., C.E.D.

B. FOCUS THIS HORNAGE I PRESCHOL 2. POST SECONDARY ED

3. NED TO EXPAID VIEW.

do not return

RXTRA

 $V, \neq V$

THANKS

C. PRESCHOOL

I. HEADSHET-SIPURD-HAVE BEEN CAUSO EQUALSTACT.

2. ENOR, SUCCESSION- HOST SUCCESSION SOC. PROB. OF LAST GTR. CENTURY.

3, DATA SHOWS BETTER ATTENDANCE & GRADES

4 HS ADUB- HOLE LIKEN TO FIDO JOBS, FORM STABLE FAM, LESS WET THE LESS PROUNT WITH CAW.

5. 2.4 MILL DISADVANTALAD KIAS; 456,000 EDROLLED - 4 007 076 - DENIED. LONG JECH 16. STORT JECH

1. OFFER PROGRAMS - BROWN RANGE OF EARCH AND SUSTANDED CHILDADA ADDES PROC. RECOMMENDED BY C.E.O. IN REDDES (HILDRED) IN NEED TO COMP FICE PARTY POST DATAL CARE - W.T. C. PROCEDED - 17 OD WALL LIST. 2. JAHRY HORE IDE. IMMUNIZATION

3. QUAL DAY CARE IN SCHOOL PROGRAMS

4. EXPANSION CHAP. I HEARTH & NUTRIT

15 MATTON APPORTMENTS

PLEATH & NUTRITION SEEV, DISCHOLATER & CAREN COUNSELTING LANG-IHAVE A DEAM

6 , NOT A RADICAL PROPOSAL IN BUSCY

MOVE NOW TO HISCH, GRAD

(2)

I. Jul Acces po Past Security

I. COLCER BOULD - MORE GOIND WITH GREATER CHOICE - SHOWDE ED COURAGED L WITH FINANCIAS HETHAUSINS

1). T. COLANT 2. TOO MUCH FORUS ON COLLEGE BOUND AND NOT ENDUR.

VE ONIQUE ID, FROM CONSTRUCTION WHERES, BUS. NEWERS, T.V. REPLAND, REDUCT, ELACK OF LINES, TO THOSE IN ECON. LIMBO OF UNEMANO, PART TIME MOST FOR AND UDBS & PONERTY.

4. NOW OUR 20-24 YR OLDS EARLY COLSEARABLY COST THAN

10 YRS AGO - 56% CAD'T KEED JAM. OF 3 ABOUT DOW. LA

30 DEFINE OF ORKE BEHIND, STAY BEHIND, THEY ALE THE WOLKING PO

10 YR TRANE SCHOOLS

F. REASONS

1. LACK OF SUPPORT - AND. COLL. STUD RECEIVES \$5,000 | ACHARING HE. - NOW COLL. - OUN 5% RECEIVE JED. - SUPPORTED JOB TRAINING WITH HAX OF \$2,000 - 448 \$20,000 B. \$2800

2. NOU COLL YOUTH ME STORT CHANGED

3. 545 per lewars out, DELLERS prose who pout 60 - CAR Afford such A MODUMENTAL WASTE OF HUMAN RESOURCES!

Co. WHAT TO DO an GENT OF LANGE-I HAVE AND THE ADVANCED ALL GRANGS

1. LIFE TIME ADVANCED ALD ALL GRANGS

2. NONDOR TRANSING ACTS

3. NAJ. DEND PROG. ADMIN. BY STATE

MUST PROUDE OUR YOUDS PEOPLE WITH HORE THAN SINGES

- 1. CHANGING BUSINESS WOLD-CHANGING JOBS-CONTINU. ED OCOTION
- 2. New LOOK AT W.L GEART SUMMER. TACK TO
- 3. (Nifies) fieso place of enveryions. 4. Deop out MOBL. NorthWe To seen out to
- 5 locale West No pose Texporan \$ 5. EXAMPLE O WHAT GOOD SCHOOL-CAN DO.
- 6. INCREASING SOPHISTICATION IN SERVICE SEEPER (INSUC. EXAMPLE + 1055 OF MANUFACTURING JOBS

OUTLINE - LOVANTON & TEAMING FOR FUJUE

I. & EOUCHTOD ENCOMPASSES HADE THINGS IT TO BE SUCCESSIVE

A. ALL EDVAGION HAS AROSSENS— VERAN EDVAGIO FACES MOST DIFFICUS JASK IN VOLUMO LARGES, NUMBERS. 25 LARGEST SOFFIC SYSTEMS— HAN K. N.Y.C. EXAMPLE

B. C.E.D. REPORT SUMMER.

C. CONBINE ABOVE WILL EDVATION RETORM, DECEMBER TEACHERS SAL 4 STANDARDS, MASS. WHATTAVI, IMPROVENENT AND HEAVE ECONOMIC BENEOMENT PROBLATE. DEEP BUS. INVOLVEMENT NECESSARY.

If EVERY PLUE WOLKS MAY SUST STAND A CHANCE

TALL ABOUT I SPECIFICS AT THE END OF THIS UNITIED SISTEMBLE OUR EDVENTION.

II- HEND START PROGRAM

TIT- NOW COLLEGE BOUND GENDUAJES

A. SUMMENT

B. CONTINUING EDUCATION — CONSTANT IN A
CHANGING WORLD

C. DROP OUT PROBLEM TODAY - NOPYING TO DROP OUT TO (2065 OFMANG JUSS . NICK. SOLAIS. IN) SERV.

recepto our NAM LOUCATION AS AN EXEMPANT 3/22/89 ALL EDUCATED 15 TEAMENT FOR JUSTICE WASHI AS SEY CANALOS A. ENTIRE EDUCATION SISTEM HIS DECIDENS I. OUR BEST SCHOOLS ARE INCLUDED IN STATISTICS THAT PLACE IS HIT CK LEHE THE BOTTOM OF THE INDUSTRIBLIZED WORLD IN MATH AND SCIENCE PROJICIENCIES 2. Pool lien school DISTRICTS ARE AMPHUMBE BAU AS ELEXY STUY OF U.S. PRIERY CONFIRM 3. WILL BE FULLSING OF VEBIN EDUCATION park SIMPLY BECHUSE OF HUW NUMBERS INVICUED + FACT THAY LANGEST 25 SUPPOR DISTRICTS IL DATION OF U.S. ARE ALL MANRYY of MINDERTHS. 1 Y.C. EXIMPLE - ASSIGNED BUT KICK IJ BEST.

> SUCCESSION DOUCHOOD OF CHINNER PLEGINGS MICH B. HER ENTRY CHINNEST & MORE THAN GOOD CHES ECCH INSTRUCTION. ONE OF FIRST GROUPS TO RECOGNIZE THIS WAS A BUS, ORGANIZATION— THE COMMITTEE ON ECONDAIN DEVELOPMENT.— ECRIMA FRANCES ELVORS OF TRADE DOUCH HAVING

DRUN CUT CATES

1 1951

IN A REPORT ENTITLED, "CHILDREN IN NEED:
INVESTMENT STRATEGIES FOR THE
EDUCATIONALLY DISADVANTAGED", THE CED
RECOMMENDED THAT THE NATION GIVE "THE
HIGHEST PRIORITY" TO A BROAD RANGE OF
EARLY AND SUSTAINED CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS
DESIGNED TO MEET THE EDUCATIONAL, HEALTH
AND SOCIAL NEEDS OF DISADVANTAGED
CHILDREN.

THESE RECOMMENDATIONS INCLUDED AN

EXPANSION OF PRESCHOOL PROGRAMS, BUT THEY

ALSO INCLUDED PRE- AND POST-NATAL CARE FOR

AT-RISK MOTHERS, EXPANDED PROGRAMS OF

FAMILY HEALTH CARE, NUTRITIONAL GUIDANCE,

QUALITY DAY CARE FOR WORKING PARENTS, AN

EXPANSION OF THE CHAPTER I PROGRAM, AND

SPECIAL PROGRAMS TO HELP PARENTS RAISE

THEIR CHILDREN.

. :

IN ADDITION, THE CED RECOMMENDED ONGOING
SUPPORT SYSTEMS WITHIN THE SCHOOLS
THEMSELVES THAT INCLUDED HEALTH AND
NUTRITIONAL SERVICES, PSYCHOLOGICAL AND
CAREER COUNSELLING, AND A VARIETY OF
PROGRAMS DESIGNED TO KEEP YOUNG PEOPLE IN
SCHOOL.

THAT'S NOT A COMPLETE PROGRAM. BUT IT WAS
THE CED'S HOPE THAT, WHEN COMBINED WITH
MAJOR EDUCATIONAL INITIATIVES, THE PROGRAM
COULD HELP ACHIEVE TWO GOALS. ONE WOULD
BE TO PROVIDE SUPPORT, HEALTH AND
SUSTENANCE FOR CHILDREN WHO HAVE MORE THAN
THEIR SHARE OF OBSTACLES TO OVERCOME IN
ORDER TO SUCCEED IN THIS SOCIETY. THE
OTHER WOULD BE TO MAKE TODAY'S
DISADVANTAGED YOUTH PART OF TOMORROW'S
ECONOMIC GROWTH.

"THIS NATION", THE CED SAID IN ITS MOST
WIDELY QUOTED STATEMENT, "CANNOT CONTINUE
TO COMPETE AND PROSPER IN THE GLOBAL ARENA
WHEN MORE THAN ONE-FIFTH OF OUR CHILDREN
LIVE IN POVERTY AND A THIRD GROW UP IN
IGNORANCE. AND IF THE NATION CANNOT
COMPETE, IT CANNOT LEAD. IF WE CONTINUE
TO SQUANDER THE TALENTS OF MILLIONS OF OUR
CHILDREN, AMERICA WILL BECOME A NATION OF
LIMITED HUMAN POTENTIAL."

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE TESTIMONY

ALL THIS WITH BROWN COMMONITY IDECUDING BUSINESS COMMONITY & IT EVER THINK WORKS MAY JUST STAND A CHANCE.

THERE IS NOT A RADICAL PROPOSAL IN THE ENTIRE CED REPORT. IN FACT, THEY ARE RELATIVELY MODEST. BUT IF ALL OF THEM WERE IMPLEMENTED, WE WOULD FINALLY HAVE THE NATIONAL COMMITMENT TO IMPROVE THE LIVES OF CHILDREN THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT FOR YEARS -- BUT WHICH WE NEVER HAVE ACTUALLY UNDERTAKEN.

NOW FOR THE SECOND TOPIC ON THE AGENDA:

FULL ACCESS TO POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION.

HERE I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE A LITTLE OFFI

14 PROVING TENESTING STANDERDS & SALANGES, INCOEDORYE NEW TENESTING DECENTARION STEPPISTOR HOLE

LEW TENESTING DEVICES, DECENTARIONE STEPPISTOR HOLE

- 16
THEX IDILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY MASSIVE IMPROVERENTS.

THE DIFFER SYSTEM WE CARE THE ENUMPION ANCESS.

ALMOST 25 YEARS AGO, WHEN THE JOHNSON

ADMINISTRATION DEVELOPED ITS WAR ON

POVERTY, ONE COMPONENT OF THAT EFFORT WAS

HEAD START, A PROGRAM TO PROVIDE PRESCHOOL.

EDUCATION FOR DISADVANTAGED CHILDREN. THE

THEORY BEHIND THE PROGRAM WAS

STRAIGHTFORWARD ENOUGH. SINCE

DISADVANTAGED CHILDREN FREQUENTLY HAD

DIFFICULTY IN SCHOOL, A PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

WOULD PUT THEM IN A BETTER POSITION TO

LEARN AND GROW.

HEAD START SUCCEEDED BEYOND ANYONE'S

EXPECTATION. FOR MORE THAN A DOZEN YEARS,

IT HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED AS ONE OF THE MOST

SUCCESSFUL SOCIAL PROGRAMS EVER DEVELOPED.

IN THIS COUNTRY. SOME VIEW IT AS THE MOST

SUCCESSFUL SOCIAL PROGRAM OF THE LAST

QUARTER CENTURY.

THIS ISN'T AN INTUITIVE OR SUBJECTIVE

OPINION. THIS IS A JUDGEMENT BACKED UP BY

A WEALTH OF DATA.

THAT DATA TELLS US THAT CHILDREN WHO

PARTICIPATE IN HEAD START DO BETTER IN

SCHOOL THAN CHILDREN WHO DO NOT. THEY

ATTEND SCHOOL MORE FREQUENTLY, AND THEIR

GRADES ARE HIGHER.

THE DATA ALSO TELLS US THAT AS THESE

CHILDREN GROW INTO ADULTS, THEY ARE MORE

LIKELY TO FIND JOBS, MORE LIKELY TO FORM

STABLE FAMILIES, LESS LIKELY TO GO ON

WELFARE, AND LESS LIKELY TO HAVE TROUBLE

WITH THE LAW.

WITH THE PUBLIC, THE CONGRESS AND THE ADMINISTRATION CLAMORING FOR SOCIAL PROGRAMS THAT "WORK", YOU WOULD THINK THAT, GIVEN THIS RECORD OF SUCCESS, WE WOULD FUND HEAD START TO THE HILT; OR FAILING THAT, FIND SOME WAY OF ENSURING THAT ALL ELIGIBLE CHILDREN HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN SOMETHING LIKE HEAD START.

THAT IS NOT THE DIRECTION IN WHICH WE ARE MOVING, HOWEVER.

AT THE PRESENT TIME, SOME 2.4 MILLION

CHILDREN ARE ELIGIBLE FOR HEAD START, BUT

ONLY 456,000 ARE ENROLLED. IN OTHER

WORDS, FOUR OF EVERY FIVE CHILDREN WHO

COULD BENEFIT FROM HEAD START ARE DENIED

THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE.

THERE IS NO QUESTION IN MY MIND, AND IN
THE MINDS OF MANY OTHERS, THAT THIS
COUNTRY NEEDS TO EXPAND ITS PUBLICLY AND
PRIVATELY SUPPORTED PRESCHOOL PROGRAMS SO
THAT EVERY CHILD WHO CAN BENEFIT FROM A
PRESCHOOL PROGRAM HAS ACCESS TO ONE.

fue Access to Post Seconnel EDWAJ.

DURING THE LAST 10 OR 12 YEARS, THERE HAS BEEN A STEADY INCREASE IN THE DESIRE OF YOUNG PEOPLE TO ATTEND COLLEGE AND A STEADY INCREASE IN THE NUMBERS ACTUALLY ATTENDING COLLEGE.

THOSE ARE WELCOME DEVELOPMENTS. THE FACT
THAT OUR COLLEGES HAVE A WIDER RANGE OF
STUDENTS FROM WHICH TO CHOOSE IS ONE
INDICATION OF OUR SUCCESS. SO IS THE
INCREASING ACADEMIC COMPETITION AMONG
COLLEGE STUDENTS.

THAT IS AS IT SHOULD BE. WE SHOULD BE ENCOURAGING ALL THOSE WHO WANT TO ATTEND COLLEGE TO DO SO. WE SHOULD REACH OUT TO THOSE WHO HAVE THE ABILITY BUT NOT THE INFORMATION OR ENCOURAGEMENT THEY NEED.

WE SHOULD BE DEVELOPING FINANCING MECHANISMS THAT MAKE COLLEGE POSSIBLE FOR BRIGHT YOUNG PEOPLE OF MODEST OR LIMITED MEANS.

BUT I WONDER IF WE ARE NOT FOCUSING TOO

MUCH ATTENTION ON THE COLLEGE-BOUND. I

WONDER IF OUR PREOCCUPATION WITH THOSE

ATTENDING COLLEGE, AND THOSE WHO WANT TO

ATTEND COLLEGE, CAUSES US TO LOSE SIGHT OF

YOUNG PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT LIKELY TO ATTEND

COLLEGE.

THERE ARE 20 MILLION YOUNG PEOPLE WHO FALL INTO THIS CATEGORY, AND, IN THE WORDS OF A NATIONAL COMMISSION ON YOUTH AND THE AMERICAN FUTURE, THEY ARE "THE FORGOTTEN HALF."

WHO ARE THESE YOUNG PEOPLE? WHAT DO WE KNOW ABOUT THEM? WHAT DO THEY DO? WHAT HAPPENS TO THEM?

THE WILLIAM T. GRANT FOUNDATION COMMISSION
THAT SPENT A YEAR STUDYING NON-COLLEGE
YOUTH HAD THIS TO SAY:

"They are the young people who build our homes, drive our buses, repair our automobiles, fix our televisions, maintain and serve our offices, schools and hospitals, and keep the production lines of our mills and factories moving."

"TO A GREAT EXTENT THEY DETERMINE HOW WELL THE AMERICAN FAMILY, ECONOMY AND DEMOCRACY FUNCTION. THEY ARE ALSO THE THOUSANDS OF YOUNG MEN AND WOMEN WHO ASPIRE TO WORK PRODUCTIVELY BUT NEVER QUITE 'MAKE IT' TO THAT KIND OF EMPLOYMENT. FOR THESE MEMBERS OF THE FORGOTTEN HALF, THEIR LIVES AS ADULTS START IN THE ECONOMIC LIMBO OF UNEMPLOYMENT, PART-TIME JOBS AND POVERTY WAGES. MANY OF THEM NEVER BREAK FREE."

THE PROBLEM, WE ARE TOLD, IS THAT, AS A NATION, WE PREPARE OUR COLLEGE-BOUND YOUTH FOR THE FUTURE BUT ASSUME OUR NON-COLLEGE BOUND YOUTH WILL MAKE IT ON THEIR OWN.

BUT THAT'S NOT HOW IT WORKS OUT.

THE DATA THE GRANT COMMISSION COLLECTED SHOWED THAT YOUNG PEOPLE BETWEEN THE AGES OF 20 AND 24 WHO DO NOT ATTEND COLLEGE EARN LESS TODAY THAN THEIR COUNTERPARTS EARNED TEN YEARS AGO. IT ALSO SHOWS 56 PERCENT NOT EVEN EARNING ENOUGH TO KEEP A FAMILY OF THREE ABOVE THE POVERTY LINE. AND WHEN INCOMES DECLINE, THE ODDS START INCREASING THAT FAMILIES WILL BEGIN TO BREAK UP, SINGLE PARENT HOUSEHOLDS WILL BE THE NORM, AND CHILDREN WILL START HAVING PROBLEMS AT SCHOOL.

IN SHORT, MANY YOUNG PEOPLE WHO DO NOT ATTEND COLLEGE FIND THEMSELVES SWIMMING AGAINST AN ECONOMIC TIDE THEY CANNOT CONTROL. THEY FIND JOBS THAT DO NOT PAY THEM ENOUGH AND THAT DO NOT ALLOW THEM TO SUPPORT THEIR FAMILIES NO MATTER HOW HARD THEY WORK. NOR IS THEIR SITUATION LIKELY TO IMPROVE. "ONCE BEHIND, THEY STAND TO STAY BEHIND", THE COMMISSION SAID.

YES, THEY ARE EMPLOYED, BUT THE CONDITIONS
OF THEIR EMPLOYMENT AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES
OF THEIR LIVES ARE GROWING PROOF THAT
EMPLOYMENT ITSELF IS NO GUARANTEE OF
WIDESPREAD ECONOMIC HEALTH OR INDIVIDUAL
ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY.

THERE MANY REASONS WHY NON-COLLEGE
BOUND YOUNG PEOPLE FIND THEMSELVES IN
THIS POSITION. ONE THAT IS FREQUENTLY
OVERLOOKED IS THE STARTLING DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN WHAT WE DO FOR THE COLLEGE STUDENT
AND WHAT WE DO FOR THE NON-COLLEGE YOUNG
PERSON.

EACH STUDENT IN AN INSTITUTION OF HIGHER
LEARNING, FOR INSTANCE, CAN EXPECT TO
RECEIVE A SUBSIDY OF \$5,000 PER ACADEMIC
YEAR THROUGH A COMBINATION OF PUBLIC AND
PRIVATE GRANTS, LOANS AND SUBSIDIES TO
INDIVIDUALS OR INSTITUTIONS.

MEANWHILE, AMONG THOSE WHO DO NOT ATTEND COLLEGE, ONLY FIVE PERCENT OF THOSE ELIGIBLE FOR FEDERALLY-SUPPORTED JOB TRAINING ACTUALLY RECEIVE THIS SUPPORT.

WHEN THEY DO, IT LASTS FOR ONLY FOUR MONTHS AND TOTALS ONLY \$1,800 TO \$2,300 PER STUDENT.

IN OTHER WORDS, THE COLLEGE STUDENT RECEIVES \$20,000 WORTH OF SUPPORT; THE NON-COLLEGE STUDENT \$2,300.

You can argue with some of the data, but I don't think anyone can argue with the conclusion: non-college bound young people are being shortchanged by our economic system and by our political priorities.

WE SAY WE HAVE A SYSTEM OF OPPORTUNITY

BASED ON EQUITY, BUT IN POINT OF FACT WE

HAVE A SYSTEM WHICH REWARDS THOSE WHO GO

TO COLLEGE AND NEGLECTS THOSE WHO DO NOT.

COLLEGE IS EQUATED WITH SUCCESS. NOT

GOING TO COLLEGE IS EQUATED WITH FAILURE.

IN AN ERA OF GLOBAL COMPETITIVENESS IN
WHICH OUR GOAL IS TO DEVELOP A HEALTHY AND
PRODUCTIVE ECONOMY, NOT JUST ONE IN WHICH
EVERYONE HAS A JOB, WE CANNOT AFFORD SUCH
A MONUMENTAL WASTE OF RESOURCES.

I AM NOT ARGUING FOR A REDUCTION IN THE SUPPORT WE CURRENTLY OFFER COLLEGE STUDENTS. I HOPE WE REACH OUT TO MORE PEOPLE, ENCOURAGE THEM TO GO TO COLLEGE, AND MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR THEM TO GO TO COLLEGE.

SUPPORT WEOTHER COULT STORYS

THE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THAT, WE NEED TO

DEVELOP SOME POST-HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATIONAL

OPPORTUNITIES FOR THOSE WHO DO NOT WANT TO

ATTEND COLLEGE BUT STILL WANT TO PURSUE

THEIR EDUCATION.

ECONOMIST LESTER THUROW HAS PROPOSED A

LIFETIME EDUCATIONAL CREDIT THAT EACH

PERSON COULD USE AS HE OR SHE SAW FIT.

THAT IS ONE IDEA. OTHERS INCLUDE

INDIVIDUAL TRAINING ACCOUNTS PATTERNED

AFTER INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS, OR A

NATIONAL DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM,

ADMINISTERED AT THE STATE LEVEL, DESIGNED

TO INCREASE ACCESS TO POST-HIGH SCHOOL

EDUCATION.

THAT IS JUST A START. MORE IDEAS WILL

CERTAINLY BE FORTHCOMING IF WE TURN MORE

ATTENTION TO THE YOUNG PERSON WHO CHOOSES

NOT TO ATTEND COLLEGE.

A COLLEGE EDUCATION. BUT A COLLEGE
EDUCATION IS NOT FOR EVERYBODY, AND NOT
EVERYBODY WANTS A COLLEGE EDUCATION.

TRYING TO PUT ALL OUR YOUNG PEOPLE INTO ONE MOLD, AND THEN JUDGING THEM IN TERMS OF WHETHER THEY FIT THIS MOLD THAT SOMEONE ELSE CHOSE FOR THEM, IS A SERIOUS ERROR.

YOUNG PEOPLE LEARN IN DIFFERENT WAYS, AT DIFFERENT PACES. LET'S TRY AND DEVELOP A SYSTEM OF POST-HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION THAT RESPECTS AND BUILDS ON THOSE DIFFERENCES.

IF WE WANT THE LARGEST NUMBER TO SUCCEED

IN LIFE, THEN WE HAVE TO PROVIDE OUR YOUNG
PEOPLE WITH MORE THAN A SINGLE PATHWAY TO
SUCCESS.